

## IRAN AND HEZBOLLAH: PROXY POWER PLAY

by

Irfan ul Haq

In Middle Eastern geopolitics, the relationship between Iran and Hezbollah is emblematic of the intricacies of regional power dynamics. Rooted in a shared ideology, this alliance has burgeoned into a formidable partnership, wherein Iran has adeptly harnessed Hezbollah as an extension of its strategic interests, pushing its influence beyond its borders without direct military engagements. Yet, as with all alliances built on mutual interests, there are inherent vulnerabilities and questions that arise: Can a relationship founded on geopolitical necessity also be susceptible to evolving regional demands? And what are the risks of over-dependence for Hezbollah, which has to straddle its dual identity as both a Lebanese entity and Iran's most prominent proxy in the region? The nexus is one of ambition and sustainability.

While Iran's relationship with Hezbollah undeniably amplifies its regional clout, it is essential to critically assess whether such a strategy, underpinned by tactical advantages, can indeed fulfil long-term objectives without incurring unintended repercussions. Moreover, as Tehran, China and Hezbollah navigate this multifaceted relationship, their decisions and interdependencies not only shape their individual trajectories but also leave an indelible impact on the broader Middle Eastern political arena. It is, thus, essential to understand and analyze the delicate equilibrium between strategy, risk, and the conjoined destinies of nations and their proxies in an ever-volatile geopolitical landscape.

### Historical Legacy of Iran's Regional Aspirations

As the seat of the once-majestic Persian Empire, Iran's legacy is marked by a millennia-long history that few regional counterparts can rival. This unique [historical identity](#) not only cultivates a sense of national pride but also fuels its aspirations to reassert dominance in the contemporary geopolitical arena. However, rather than purely resting on past laurels, the question arises:

how does Iran's history translate into its present-day strategies and ambitions?

### Hezbollah, Iran's Strategic Chess Piece

Hezbollah's emergence during Lebanon's tumultuous civil war provided Iran with a timely geopolitical opportunity. While the organization initially grew in response to local Lebanese issues, [Iran astutely recognized its potential as a proxy force](#). Through extensive financing, training, and ideological alignment, Iran transformed Hezbollah from a local militia into a formidable regional actor, serving Tehran's broader geopolitical interests. However one must critically evaluate this relationship. Is Hezbollah merely an instrument of Iranian policy, or does it retain independent agency? Furthermore, what are the implications of this symbiotic relationship for the broader Middle East?

### The Dual Facets of Hezbollah

Hezbollah is not merely a militant entity. It possesses a dual character: a hard power arm that undertakes military and strategic operations and a soft power component reflected in its extensive network of social services. While the former extends Iran's strategic reach and influence, the latter embeds and legitimizes both Hezbollah and, by extension, Iran's influence within the [Lebanese socio-political fabric](#). This multi-faceted approach serves Iran's ambitions but also poses risks. Relying heavily on a proxy, even one as influential as Hezbollah, can be a double-edged sword, leading to unpredictable consequences if local dynamics shift.

### Iran's Support for Hezbollah

**Military and Financial Backing:** Iran's support for Hezbollah is no secret. From an initial investment in training and arming the budding militia in the early 1980s, [Iran now reportedly provides an estimated \\$700 million to Hezbollah annually](#), according to the U.S. Department of State. This funding facilitates a spectrum of activities, from military operations against Israel to maintaining a vast network of social services in Lebanon. Additionally, Hezbollah's arsenal, believed to contain more than [100,000 rockets](#), is largely bankrolled by Tehran. Some

experts argue that Hezbollah has grown independent of Iranian funding, citing its various other sources of income, from the global diaspora to criminal enterprises. While these streams are significant, Iran's financial infusion remains Hezbollah's primary lifeline, ensuring its military dominance and facilitating its expansive socio-political initiatives in Lebanon.

**Ideological Alignment:** More than just a financial or military ally, Hezbollah represents a key ideological partner for Iran. The organization's 1985 manifesto not only calls for the destruction of Israel but also pledges allegiance to the then Supreme Leader of Iran, Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini. This shared vision, rooted in [Shiite Islamism, ensures a deep-seated alignment of goals and worldviews](#). However, skeptics highlight instances where Hezbollah's Lebanese-nationalist goals did not perfectly align with Iranian objectives. While minor divergences exist, the overarching ideological congruence between the two entities is undeniable.

**Viability of the Proxy Strategy:** Iran's use of Hezbollah as a proxy extends its regional influence and furthers its objectives while avoiding direct confrontations. However, this strategy is not without risks. Hezbollah's activities, from its involvement in the Syrian civil war to clashes with Israel, can inadvertently draw Iran into larger regional skirmishes. Further, an over-reliance on proxies can lead to unpredictability. Even with shared ideologies, proxy groups can prioritize their interests, potentially jeopardizing Iranian objectives. Moreover, the Lebanese political landscape is complex, and any [significant shift in internal dynamics could affect Hezbollah's standing](#) and, by extension, Iran's influence. Proponents of the proxy strategy argue that it is a tried-and-tested method, and allows major powers to exert influence without direct involvement. They also point out that Hezbollah, given its ideological alignment and dependency on Iran, is less likely to diverge significantly from Tehran's directives.

## Conclusion

Iran's complicated and multifaceted relationship with Hezbollah highlights the broader complexities

of Middle Eastern geopolitics. Through its robust financial and military support, coupled with shared ideological roots, Iran has successfully woven Hezbollah into its regional strategic goals. It extends its sphere of influence without direct confrontations. Yet, the profound interconnectedness of these two entities, while showcasing a formidable alliance, also reveals potential vulnerabilities. The ever-shifting sands of the Middle East demand astute foresight. As Tehran leverages its alliance with Hezbollah, it faces the dual challenge of ensuring that short-term tactical advantages do not jeopardize long-term strategic objectives. Similarly, for Hezbollah, the benefits of Iranian patronage are countered by the risks of over-dependence and the intricate dance of balancing its identity as a Lebanese national entity with its role as Iran's premier regional proxy. In navigating this alliance, both Iran and Hezbollah are not merely shaping their individual futures but also influencing the broader trajectory of the Middle Eastern political landscape. Their alliance is a poignant reminder of the delicate balance between ambition and sustainability, strategy and risk, and the intertwined fates of nations and their proxies in the volatile world of global geopolitics.

*Irfan ul Haq has a PhD in Political Science and is a Senior Research Fellow in the University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India.*