The Economic Leash: China's Financial Tethers and Global Power Plays

By
Brian Iselin

Special Paper | November 2024

Institute for Security & Development Policy

The Economic Leash: China's Financial Tethers and Global Power Plays

By

Brian Iselin

Special Paper
November 2024

Institute for Security & Development Policy

"The Economic Leash: China's Financial Tethers and Global Power Plays" is a Special Paper published by the Institute for Security and Development Policy. The Institute is based in Stockholm, Sweden, and cooperates closely with research centers worldwide. The Institute serves a large and diverse community of analysts, scholars, policy-watchers, business leaders, and journalists. It is at the forefront of research on issues of conflict, security, and development. Through its applied research, publications, research cooperation, public lectures, and seminars, it functions as a focal point for academic, policy, and public discussion.

ISBN: 978-91-88551-59-7

Distributed in Europe by:

Institute for Security and Development Policy
Västra Finnbodavägen 2, 131 30 Stockholm-Nacka, Sweden
Tel. +46-841056953; Fax. +46-86403370
Email: info@isdp.eu

Editorial correspondence should be directed to the address provided above (preferably by email).

Cover Photo: ~~Andrea Izzotti / Shutterstock~~

Contents

Abbreviations

BRI	Belt and Road Initiative
CCP	Chinese Communist Party
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
DSSI	Debt Service Suspension Initiative
EU	European Union
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IMF	International Monetary Fund
PRC	People's Republic of China
RMB	Renminbi
SOE	State-Owned Enterprise
TSMC	Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company
WHO	World Health Organization

Executive Summary

China's emphasis on Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth and its integration into global markets have allowed it to wield significant influence internationally. Nonetheless, this focus on rapid expansion has created disparities within the Chinese economy, such as regional inequalities, a reliance on debt-fueled growth, and a fragile financial system. These economic challenges impose limitations on China's broader strategic objectives, particularly its military ambitions. The global financial system, especially China's stock market, acts as a stabilizing force, as economic volatility directly affects China's capacity to engage in risky geopolitical behavior.

A key theme throughout this special paper is the delicate balance between China's desire for economic independence and its reliance on the global financial system. Efforts to de-dollarize its economy and the issuance of ultralong bonds reflect China's strategy to insulate itself from Western financial influence. However, these measures expose the limits of China's integration into the global economy, underscoring the nation's vulnerabilities as much as its strengths.

Taiwan's critical role in the semiconductor industry is another focal point of analysis. The Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC) is both an economic asset and a geopolitical flashpoint. China's dependency on Taiwanese semiconductors complicates any potential military action in the Taiwan Strait, as such a move would disrupt global supply chains and severely damage China's own technological sector. The strategic partnerships between the European Union (EU), the United States (US), and Taiwan only heighten these complexities, making the economic stakes of any conflict incredibly high.

Africa is an interesting litmus test for China's economic health. Beijing's once-ambitious aid programs in Africa, often seen as signs of growing Chinese influence, are now being scaled back due to internal financial constraints. While China's aid strategy has always been driven by geostrategic interests, the drawdown in 2024 reflects deeper issues within China's economy, such as high levels of debt and slowing growth. This shift signals a broader trend of financial pragmatism replacing the more aggressive spending patterns of the past decade.

The special paper concludes by examining the evolving economic relationship between China and the EU. Strategic industries, particularly semiconductors, are reshaping global power dynamics, with the EU taking proactive steps to diversify away from Chinese technological influence. These efforts reflect a more cautious global approach to China's economic rise, with Europe seeking to mitigate the risks associated with its deep economic ties to Beijing.

In sum, it is argued that China's economic dependencies are at the core of its geopolitical behavior. The intricate relationship between economic stability and military ambition will continue to define China's path forward, limiting its capacity for unchecked expansion while ensuring its cautious engagement with the global order. Policymakers must grasp these economic constraints to fully understand China's future strategies and potential for conflict.

Key Policy Implications

1. China's Economic Fragility as a Deterrent

China's internal economic challenges—high debt levels, fragile real estate markets, and demographic pressures—act as significant constraints on its ability to take aggressive geopolitical actions, particularly in regions like Taiwan and the South China Sea. Economic stability is central to the Chinese Communist Party's (CCP) legitimacy, and any significant disruption to this stability would threaten both domestic cohesion and global influence.

- **Policy Response:** Western powers, including the U.S. and the EU, should maintain economic pressure on China through targeted sanctions or trade policies that exploit these vulnerabilities. For example, restricting access to key technologies (e.g., semiconductors, advanced machinery) could increase internal costs for China, forcing Beijing to reconsider its more assertive policies abroad.

- **Additional Opportunities:** Strengthening alliances and international trade agreements (e.g., Indo-Pacific partnerships) can provide alternatives to Chinese-dominated supply chains, thus reducing dependency on China and creating leverage.

2. *Semiconductor Sovereignty and the Global Technological Race*

Taiwan's strategic dominance in semiconductor manufacturing through companies like TSMC places it at the center of global geopolitics. China's inability to replicate Taiwan's advanced semiconductor capabilities limits its technological independence and, in turn, its military and strategic options.

- **Policy Response:** The U.S., EU, and their allies should deepen technological partnerships with Taiwan to fortify global supply chains. Investment in semiconductor diversification, such as new production facilities in the U.S. and Europe, is critical to reducing the global dependence on Taiwan while maintaining strong deterrence against Chinese aggression.

- **Additional Opportunities:** Policymakers should push for global coopera-tion in the research and development of next-generation technologies like AI, quantum computing, and 5G to maintain technological superiority over China, reducing the risk of conflict over technology supply chains.

3. *Decline in China's Global Aid Spending and its Geopolitical Impact*

China's financial pullback in regions like Africa, where it once exerted influence through loans and infrastructure projects, reflects its internal financial strains. This creates openings for other powers to reassert influence in these regions.

- **Policy Response:** The U.S. and EU should capitalize on China's reduced spending by offering sustainable, transparent, and ethically driven aid and investment alternatives in Africa and other developing regions. Initiatives like the EU's **Global Gateway** and the U.S. **Partnership for Global Infrastructure** are key mechanisms that can outcompete Chinese influence, especially if they focus on green technologies and debt relief.

- **Additional Opportunities:** Promoting economic governance reforms in developing countries will ensure that China's debt-driven model is less attractive in the long term. This could be part of a broader effort to promote democratic governance and transparency as the basis for international economic partnerships.

4. Strategic Alignment between the European Union and Taiwan

The growing alignment between the European Union and Taiwan over semiconductor partnerships signals a broader geopolitical shift. The EU's strategic push to diversify from Chinese technology dependence and secure its own supply chains is a critical part of this shift.

- **Policy Response:** The EU should continue to deepen its strategic engagement with Taiwan, not only in technology but also in trade and diplomatic support. This alignment could serve as a counterbalance to Chinese influence, and Taiwan's technological strengths could serve as leverage for stronger diplomatic backing in international institutions.

- **Additional Opportunities:** Encourage more European countries to adopt stronger positions on Taiwan's international standing, pushing for Taiwan's participation in global organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO). Simultaneously, expand joint research initiatives to promote technological leadership in semiconductors and AI.

5. EU-China Economic Rebalancing

As the EU seeks to reduce its reliance on Chinese technology and shift towards a more resilient and independent technological base, there are both risks and opportunities. Decoupling from China could create short-term economic disruption, but in the long term, it would reduce the EU's vulnerability to political and economic coercion.

- **Policy Response**: The EU must continue to strengthen industrial and digital sovereignty by enhancing local production capabilities in semiconductors, green technologies, and other critical sectors. Strategic investments through programs like the **European Chips Act** should be ramped up to ensure that Europe remains competitive in critical industries, independent of Chinese suppliers.

- **Additional Opportunities**: The EU can also take advantage of growing skepticism towards China in the Global South, presenting itself as a more reliable, sustainable partner by emphasizing transparency, environmental standards, and fair labor practices in its investments.

6. Leveraging China's Stock Market Dependencies

China's stock market, and its deep integration with global financial markets, serves as both a strength and a vulnerability. Market volatility, particularly in response to geopolitical tensions, directly impacts China's economy and limits its ability to engage in militaristic actions.

- **Policy Response**: Policymakers in the West should carefully monitor and influence financial regulations and market policies that affect China's access to global capital. Strategic moves to restrict Chinese access to international markets—through sanctions, trade restrictions, or controls on capital flows—could serve as deterrents against aggressive behavior.

- **Additional Opportunities**: Engage in international dialogues and agreements that establish financial and technological rules of engagement, ensuring China's compliance with global standards.

Encouraging transparency in China's financial systems can also help expose weaknesses and create leverage in international negotiations.

7. Containing China's Militaristic Ambitions via Economic Constraints

Xi Jinping's militaristic goals are constrained by economic realities, particularly the need for social stability and continuous economic growth. The CCP's internal politics, combined with domestic challenges like income inequality and an aging population, limit Xi's ability to pursue aggressive foreign policies.

- **Policy Response:** Western powers should focus on reinforcing the economic pressures that limit China's expansionist ambitions, particularly by maintaining trade restrictions and strategic alliances in Asia-Pacific. Supporting regional security arrangements like **AUKUS** (Australia, UK, U.S.) and **Quad** (U.S., Japan, India, Australia) can provide counterweights to China's military presence.

- **Additional Opportunities**: Engage more deeply with China's neighbors to offer security and trade alternatives, thus preventing smaller nations from falling under Beijing's economic and military influence. Strengthening multilateral frameworks that address both economic and security concerns in the region is essential.

8. Role of Ultralong Bonds in China's Economic Strategy

China's issuance of ultralong bonds to manage its debt burden signals a shift toward long-term economic planning. While this allows China to stabilize its economy in the short term, it also indicates its growing financial dependence on global investors.

- **Policy Response:** The U.S., EU, and international financial institutions should scrutinize China's financial instruments and encourage greater transparency in its bond markets. Strengthening oversight of Chinese debt practices through international financial institutions like the IMF or World Bank will help curb risky lending and promote global financial stability.

- **Additional Opportunities:** Use international economic forums, such as the G20, to pressure China into adopting more sustainable debt practices, especially regarding its loans to developing countries. Promoting international standards for debt transparency and sustainable lending practices will reduce China's influence in the Global South.

This special paper provides a comprehensive analysis of how China's economic realities define its geopolitical behavior, offering crucial insights for understanding Beijing's future on the world stage. Ultimately, the economic leash constraining China is stronger than any other could. It is perhaps an unbreakable binding absent a world-changing catalyst. We must monitor the economy if we want to really assess the likelihood of a Chinese attempt to claim Taiwan.

Introduction

China's emergence as a global economic powerhouse has been marked by impressive growth, expansion, and a complex web of economic dependencies that shape its geopolitical strategies, particularly concerning Taiwan. This monograph examines how China's economic realities, under Xi Jinping's increasingly personalist rule, simultaneously propel and limit its ambitions. While China's economic strength enhances its influence, it also imposes constraints that temper militaristic aspirations and shape the strategic decisions of the CCP.

In the chapters that follow, we explore the relationship between China's emphasis on GDP growth, the centrality of strategic industries like semiconductors, and the internal disparities challenging the sustainability of its economic model. The global financial system, especially the stock market, acts as a critical check against aggressive military actions, making economic stability essential for China's continued rise. China's economic maneuvers—such as its efforts to reduce dependency on the U.S. dollar and the issuance of ultralong bonds—illustrate both its drive for economic independence and the constraints of its global integration. Taiwan's semiconductor industry emerges as both an economic asset and a geopolitical complication, adding further complexity to China's approach in the Taiwan Strait.

This work also touches on China's foreign aid, notably its recent drawdown of aid and foreign direct investment (FDI) in Africa, as an indicator of economic shifts within China. Finally, it considers the evolving economic relationship between the European Union and China, particularly in semiconductor partnerships, which are reshaping global power dynamics.

In arguing that China's economic dependencies are pivotal to understanding its cautious approach to military action, this monograph offers a nuanced perspective on how these constraints influence China's broader geopolitical strategy. The nation's path forward on the global stage will be defined by its ability to navigate these economic forces, balancing its geopolitical ambitions with the imperative of sustained economic growth.

1. The Illusion of Prosperity

Distinguishing GDP Growth from Wealth Growth

China's economic story is often told through the lens of its Gross Domestic Product (GDP), a measure that reflects the total value of goods and services produced within the country. With figures that consistently place China among the fastest-growing economies in the world, the narrative of prosperity seems unassailable. However, GDP is a metric that can be misleading when used as the sole indicator of a nation's economic health. It focuses on the scale of economic activity, often driven by state investments, but does not account for the distribution of wealth or the financial well-being of the population.

GDP growth is often cited by policymakers as a sign of success. Yet, this measure can obscure the reality of economic conditions for ordinary citizens. For instance, large-scale infrastructure projects and industrial output can inflate GDP figures, but they do not necessarily translate into improved living standards or equitable wealth distribution. GDP growth measures economic output, but it does not capture how wealth is accumulated or how it benefits—or fails to benefit—the population. The distinction between GDP growth and wealth growth is crucial for understanding the real state of China's economy.

Wealth growth, on the other hand, reflects the accumulation of assets, financial security, and long-term economic stability for households and businesses. It provides a more accurate picture of whether the population is experiencing actual prosperity. A nation may experience high GDP growth while its citizens see little improvement in their economic situation. This discrepancy highlights the limitations of GDP as a measure of prosperity and the importance of considering wealth growth as a complementary metric.

In China, the difference between GDP and wealth growth is stark. The country's economic policies have often prioritized rapid GDP expansion, sometimes at the expense of sustainable wealth creation. This approach has led to impressive GDP figures, but the benefits have not been evenly distributed across the population. Urban areas, particularly in coastal regions, have seen significant economic development, while rural areas and lower-income populations have lagged. This imbalance points to a deeper issue within China's economic model—a reliance on GDP growth that does not necessarily improve the overall financial health of its citizens.

China's Economic Strategy: Short-term Gains vs. Long-term Sustainability

China's economic strategy has long been characterized by a focus on rapid industrialization, infrastructure development, and export-driven growth. These strategies have delivered impressive GDP figures, reinforcing China's position as a global economic powerhouse. However, this focus on short-term gains has come with significant trade-offs, particularly in terms of long-term economic sustainability.

The reliance on massive state-driven investments has fueled much of China's GDP growth. These investments, often directed toward infrastructure projects and real estate development, have been effective in boosting economic output in the short term. However, the sustainability of this growth model is increasingly being questioned. Many of these investments are funded through debt, creating a financial burden that could weigh heavily on future economic performance.

China's debt levels have soared in recent years, with local governments and state-owned enterprises (SOEs) taking on significant liabilities to finance growth. This debt-driven approach has led to concerns about the long-term viability of China's economic strategy. High levels of debt increase financial risk and can lead to economic instability if not managed carefully. Moreover, the focus on GDP growth has often resulted in inefficient investments, with resources directed toward projects that do not necessarily generate sustainable returns or improve the quality of life for the population.

Environmental degradation is another consequence of China's growth-at-all-costs strategy. The emphasis on rapid industrialization has led to significant environmental challenges, including air and water pollution, deforestation, and soil degradation. These environmental costs are not reflected in GDP figures, but they have a profound impact on the health and well-being of the population. Over time, the environmental damage caused by China's growth model could undermine the very economic gains it seeks to achieve.

Additionally, the focus on short-term economic performance has often come at the expense of addressing structural issues within the economy. Inefficiencies in the allocation of resources, corruption, and the lack of transparency in financial markets are all challenges that have been exacerbated by the pursuit of rapid GDP growth. These issues undermine the sustainability of China's economic model and pose significant risks to its long-term stability.

The emphasis on short-term gains has also created significant economic disparities within China. While urban centers and industrial hubs have benefited from rapid economic growth, rural areas and less developed regions have not experienced the same level of prosperity. This uneven development has contributed to growing inequality, which could lead to social unrest and political instability if left unaddressed. The Chinese government faces the challenge of balancing the need for continued economic growth with the imperative of addressing these long-term structural issues.

Implications of Economic Disparities on National Power

The disparities in wealth and economic development within China have profound implications for the country's national power. A nation's strength is not solely determined by its economic output but also by the well-being of its population and the stability of its social and political systems. Economic disparities can weaken national cohesion, undermine social stability, and limit a country's ability to project power on the global stage.

In China, the growing gap between rich and poor, urban and rural, coastal and inland regions, poses significant challenges to the nation's long-term stability. Economic inequality can lead to social unrest, as those who feel left behind become increasingly disillusioned with the government's ability to deliver prosperity. This discontent can manifest in various ways, from protests and strikes to more subtle forms of resistance, all of which can undermine the government's authority and weaken national unity.

Economic disparities also have implications for China's ability to sustain its economic growth. As wealth becomes increasingly concentrated in certain regions and among specific segments of the population, consumer demand may weaken, limiting the potential for domestic consumption to drive future growth. Moreover, the lack of economic opportunities in less developed regions could lead to a brain drain, as talented individuals migrate to more prosperous areas in search of better prospects. This could further exacerbate regional inequalities and hinder the development of a more balanced and sustainable economy.

The concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a small elite can also undermine China's political stability. A system that favors the wealthy and powerful at the expense of the broader population is more likely to face challenges to its legitimacy. Corruption, cronyism, and the lack of accountability in the political system are all symptoms of an economic model that prioritizes GDP growth over equitable wealth distribution. These issues can erode public trust in the government and create vulnerabilities that could be exploited by both domestic and foreign actors.

On the international stage, China's economic disparities limit its ability to project power effectively. A nation that is internally divided and struggling with economic inequality may find it more difficult to sustain the resources and public support needed to engage in prolonged or complex international conflicts. Moreover, economic disparities can weaken China's soft power, as the world becomes increasingly aware of the contradictions between its economic success and the realities of life for many of its citizens. This can lead to a loss of influence in global institutions and diminish China's ability to shape international norms and policies in its favor.

While China's impressive GDP growth has cemented its position as a major global player, the disparities in wealth and economic development within the country pose significant challenges to its long-term stability and national power. The focus on short-term economic gains has led to significant structural issues that could undermine China's future prosperity and its ability to project power on the global stage. Addressing these disparities and promoting more equitable wealth distribution will be crucial for China as it seeks to maintain its economic growth and strengthen its position as a global leader.

2. The Stock Market as a Strategic Buffer

Having discussed the distinction between GDP growth and wealth distribution in Chapter 1, which revealed some of the economic imbalances within China, we now turn our attention to another important element of China's economic framework: the stock market. In this chapter, we will explore how China's involvement in global financial markets, particularly through its stock market, serves as both a strategic advantage and a potential risk. This chapter will show how the interplay between economic stability and geopolitical goals is reflected in the behavior of China's stock market, and how these financial factors act as a significant check against more assertive military actions. Understanding this relationship is essential to grasping the broader limitations on China's position as a global power.

Interdependence of Global Financial Markets

China's integration into global financial markets has created a complex network of economic dependencies that play a crucial role in its strategic calculations. The interconnectedness of these markets means that economic events in one part of the world can have significant repercussions elsewhere, binding nations together in a mesh of financial interdependence. For China, this reality is both a source of strength and a potential vulnerability, particularly when it comes to military ambitions.

The global financial system operates like a vast, interconnected labyrinth, where the actions of one major economy can ripple through markets worldwide. China, as the world's second-largest economy, is deeply enmeshed in this system. Its economic policies, financial decisions, and market fluctuations are closely monitored by global investors, and any significant changes can have far-reaching effects. This interdependence is a double-edged sword for China. While it allows the country to leverage its economic power on the global stage, it also means that any destabilizing actions, such as military aggression, could trigger a financial backlash with severe consequences for its economy.

China's stock market is a critical node in this global network. It is not only a barometer of the country's economic health but also a reflection of global investor sentiment. A sharp decline in Chinese stocks can lead to capital flight, devaluation of the currency, and a loss of investor confidence, all of which can destabilize the broader economy. Conversely, stability and growth in China's markets can attract foreign investment, bolstering the country's economic position and providing a buffer against external pressures.

The interdependence of global financial markets also means that China's actions are constrained by the reactions of other major economies. Any military move that threatens global stability is likely to be met with economic sanctions, divestment, and other financial penalties from the international community. These responses could inflict significant damage on China's economy, making the cost of military aggression prohibitively high. This reality has become a strategic consideration for China, influencing its decisions in regions like the Taiwan Strait.

China's Stock Market Dynamics and Their Impact on Military Ambitions

China's stock market, while relatively young compared to those in the West, has become a significant factor in the country's economic and strategic planning. The performance of the stock market is closely linked to the broader economy, and by extension, to the government's ability to maintain social stability and achieve its long-term goals. The stock market's behavior is not just an economic concern; it has direct implications for China's military ambitions and geopolitical strategies.

The Chinese government closely monitors the stock market as an indicator of public sentiment and economic health. A strong, rising market is seen as a sign of confidence in the government's economic policies and can bolster national pride. However, a sharp decline in the market can signal underlying economic weaknesses, leading to public discontent and increased pressure on the government to take corrective action. This sensitivity to market performance means that the Chinese leadership must carefully balance its military ambitions with the need to maintain a stable and growing economy.

The stock market also plays a crucial role in China's ability to finance its military and strategic initiatives. A healthy market provides the government with the resources needed to fund its military budget, invest in technological advancements, and maintain a strong global presence. Conversely, a downturn in the market can limit the government's financial flexibility, forcing it to scale back its ambitions or delay critical projects. This financial dependency acts as a natural check on China's ability to pursue aggressive military actions, particularly those that could provoke a significant market reaction.

Moreover, China's stock market is heavily influenced by global investor sentiment. Foreign investors hold a substantial portion of Chinese equities, and their actions can have a significant impact on market performance. Any indication that China is moving towards military conflict, particularly with a key global player like the United States, could trigger a sell-off, leading to a market collapse and severe economic consequences. This potential for market volatility is a powerful deterrent against military aggression, as the economic fallout could undermine the very goals China seeks to achieve through force.

The stock market's role as a strategic buffer is further reinforced by the interconnectedness of China's economy with the global financial system. China's economic success is heavily reliant on its trade relationships, particularly with developed economies like the United States and the European Union. Any military action that threatens these relationships could lead to a loss of trade, sanctions, and other economic penalties, all of which would be reflected in the stock market. The need to maintain these relationships and protect its economic interests has led China to adopt a more cautious approach to military conflict, using its economic power as a tool of diplomacy rather than risking the destabilization of its markets.

Case Studies: February 2024 Collapse and Other Market Events

The events of February 2024 provide a stark example of how China's stock market can serve as both a reflection of and a constraint on its geopolitical ambitions. In early 2024, a combination of factors, including a property crisis, slowing economic growth, and rising geopolitical tensions, led to a significant downturn in the Chinese stock market. Over 1,800 stocks in the Shanghai and Shenzhen markets plummeted, wiping out trillions of dollars in market value and sending shockwaves through the global financial system.

This market collapse highlighted the vulnerability of China's economy to both domestic and international pressures. The immediate cause of the downturn was rooted in domestic economic issues, particularly the ongoing property crisis and concerns over local government debt. However, the situation was exacerbated by rising tensions with the United States and other Western powers over issues such as Taiwan and the South China Sea. The market's reaction demonstrated how quickly investor confidence could erode in response to geopolitical instability, leading to significant economic consequences for China.

The February 2024 collapse also underscored the limitations on China's ability to pursue aggressive military actions. The economic fallout from the market downturn forced the Chinese government to focus on stabilizing the economy and restoring investor confidence, rather than escalating military tensions. The need to prevent further economic damage acted as a powerful deterrent against any moves that could provoke additional market volatility, effectively restraining China's military ambitions in the short term.

Other market events in recent years have similarly demonstrated the constraints imposed by financial considerations on China's geopolitical strategies. For instance, the trade tensions between China and the United States in 2018-2019 led to significant fluctuations in the Chinese stock market, as investors reacted to the prospect of tariffs and other economic barriers. The Chinese government's response was to seek a resolution through negotiations and economic diplomacy, rather than risking a prolonged conflict that could further destabilize the market.

These case studies illustrate the role of the stock market as a strategic buffer, limiting China's ability to engage in military conflict and forcing the government to prioritize economic stability. The potential for market collapse in response to geopolitical tensions acts as a powerful check on China's actions, ensuring that economic considerations remain at the forefront of its strategic decision-making.

The stock market's influence on China's military ambitions cannot be overstated. As a critical component of the global financial system, China's market dynamics are closely linked to its economic health and geopolitical strategies. The interconnectedness of global markets, combined with the domestic importance of maintaining a stable economy, creates significant constraints on China's ability to pursue aggressive actions. The lessons from events like the February 2024 collapse highlight the delicate balance China must maintain between its economic interests and its military goals, reinforcing the stock market's role as a strategic buffer in the country's approach to global power.

3. De-Dollarization and Bond Strategies

After examining the role of China's stock market as a strategic buffer in Chapter 2, we now shift our focus to another key aspect of China's economic strategy: its efforts to reduce dependence on the U.S. dollar. In this chapter, we will look at China's de-dollarization initiatives and its issuance of ultralong bonds, exploring how these financial strategies aim to increase economic independence. These moves reflect China's broader goals of stabilizing its economy and asserting more control over its financial future, which are crucial in understanding its approach to both domestic and international challenges.

China's De-dollarization Efforts: Economic and Geopolitical Implications

China's strategy of reducing its reliance on the U.S. dollar, commonly referred to as de-dollarization, is a key component of its broader economic and geopolitical agenda. This effort reflects Beijing's desire to insulate its economy from potential U.S. financial sanctions and to assert greater control over its financial destiny. By shifting away from the dollar, China aims to reduce its vulnerability to external economic pressures and to promote the renminbi (RMB) as an alternative reserve currency.

The geopolitical implications of de-dollarization are significant. The U.S. dollar has long been the dominant currency in global trade and finance, giving the United States substantial leverage over international economic transactions. By reducing its holdings of U.S. Treasury bonds and increasing its gold reserves, China is seeking to weaken this dominance and create a more multipolar financial system. This strategy is not just about economic independence; it is also about challenging the existing global order and asserting China's influence on the world stage.

China's de-dollarization efforts have included bilateral trade agreements that bypass the dollar, promoting the use of the RMB in international transactions. These agreements, particularly with countries in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, are designed to create a network of trade and investment that is less dependent on the U.S. financial system. In doing so, China is gradually building an economic sphere of influence that operates outside the traditional dollar-based system, thereby reducing the impact of U.S. sanctions and financial policies on its economy.

However, de-dollarization is not without risks. The U.S. dollar's dominance is deeply entrenched, and any significant move away from it can create volatility in global financial markets. Additionally, the success of this strategy depends on the willingness of other countries to embrace the RMB as a viable alternative. While some nations may be eager to diversify away from the dollar, others may be hesitant, given the uncertainties surrounding the Chinese economy and the RMB's stability. As a result, China's de-dollarization efforts must be carefully managed to avoid triggering unintended economic consequences.

The impact of de-dollarization on China's domestic economy is also profound. By reducing its exposure to U.S. financial instruments, China can exert greater control over its monetary policy and reduce the risks associated with holding large amounts of foreign currency reserves. This shift is part of a broader strategy to increase China's economic resilience and reduce its dependence on external markets. However, the transition to a more RMB-centric system is complex and requires significant adjustments in both domestic and international financial practices.

Role of Ultralong Bonds in Stabilizing China's Economy

As part of its broader economic strategy, China has turned to the issuance of ultralong bonds—government securities with maturities extending beyond the typical 10- or 20-year timeframe. These bonds, which can have maturities of 30, 50, or even 100 years, are designed to provide long-term financing for the country's strategic initiatives. The issuance of ultralong bonds serves multiple purposes: stabilizing the economy, managing debt, and supporting key infrastructure and development projects.

The issuance of ultralong bonds is a response to several economic challenges facing China, including rising debt levels, slowing growth, and the need for sustained investment in critical sectors. By spreading debt repayment over a longer period, ultralong bonds allow the Chinese government to manage its financial obligations more effectively, reducing the pressure on short-term resources. This strategy also aligns with China's focus on long-term planning, as the funds raised through these bonds are typically directed toward projects that are essential for the country's future economic stability and growth.

One of the key benefits of ultralong bonds is their ability to attract a stable base of investors, particularly institutional investors looking for long-term, low-risk assets. These bonds provide a predictable return over an extended period, making them an attractive option for pension funds, insurance companies, and other entities seeking secure investments. By tapping into this pool of capital, China can ensure a steady flow of funds for its development projects, reducing the need for more volatile short-term financing.

The use of ultralong bonds also reflects China's desire to assert greater control over its economic future. By issuing debt with long maturities, the government can avoid the pitfalls of short-term debt cycles that can lead to financial instability. This approach allows China to take a more measured approach to economic development, focusing on projects that will yield benefits over the long term rather than being driven by the need for immediate returns.

However, the issuance of ultralong bonds is not without risks. These bonds are a bet on the future stability and growth of the Chinese economy. If the economy fails to meet growth expectations, the long-term debt burden could become unsustainable, leading to financial difficulties. Moreover, the success of these bonds depends on investor confidence in China's ability to manage its economy effectively over several decades. Any signs of economic weakness or instability could undermine this confidence, leading to higher borrowing costs and financial strain.

The role of ultralong bonds in China's economic strategy is closely linked to its de-dollarization efforts. By securing long-term financing through these bonds, China can reduce its reliance on foreign capital markets and the U.S. dollar, furthering its goal of economic independence. This strategy provides a financial foundation for China's broader geopolitical ambitions, allowing the country to pursue its objectives without being constrained by short-term financial pressures.

Combined Impact on China's Economic Independence

The combination of de-dollarization efforts and the issuance of ultralong bonds represents a strategic shift in China's approach to economic management and global influence. Together, these strategies are designed to increase China's economic independence, reduce its vulnerability to external pressures, and provide a stable financial foundation for its long-term development goals.

De-dollarization and ultralong bonds both serve to insulate China's economy from the influence of the U.S. and other Western powers. By reducing its reliance on the dollar and securing long-term financing through its own bond markets, China is positioning itself to operate more independently within the global economy. This increased autonomy allows China to pursue its strategic objectives, such as the Belt and Road Initiative, with greater confidence and less concern about the potential impact of external economic pressures.

The move towards economic independence also has significant geopolitical implications. By reducing its dependence on the U.S. dollar and foreign capital markets, China is challenging the existing global financial order and asserting its role as a major economic power. This shift is part of a broader effort to reshape the global economic system in a way that is more favorable to China's interests. As China continues to expand its economic influence, particularly in developing regions, its ability to operate independently of Western financial systems will become increasingly important.

The success of these strategies depends on several factors, including the stability of the Chinese economy, the willingness of other countries to embrace the RMB, and the continued confidence of investors in China's long-term prospects. If China can manage these challenges effectively, it will be well-positioned to achieve its goal of greater economic independence. However, the path to this independence is fraught with risks, including the potential for financial instability, market volatility, and geopolitical tensions.

The combined impact of de-dollarization and ultralong bonds on China's economy is profound. These strategies are not just about reducing dependence on the U.S. dollar or securing long-term financing; they are about reshaping China's role in the global economy and asserting its economic sovereignty. As China continues to pursue these strategies, it will face challenges and opportunities that will define its economic and geopolitical trajectory for decades to come.

China's efforts to de-dollarize its economy and issue ultralong bonds represent a significant shift in its economic strategy. These moves are designed to increase China's economic independence, reduce its vulnerability to external pressures, and support its long-term development goals. While these strategies carry risks, they also offer the potential for greater economic stability and geopolitical influence. The success of these efforts will be critical in determining China's future role in the global economy and its ability to achieve its strategic objectives.

4. Semiconductor Sovereignty and Cross-Strait Relations

Following our exploration of China's de-dollarization efforts and the strategic use of ultralong bonds, we now turn to a critical industry that plays a pivotal role in global power dynamics: semiconductors. In this chapter, we will examine Taiwan's central position in the semiconductor industry and how this influences cross-strait relations with China. Understanding Taiwan's economic strength in this sector is key to grasping the complex geopolitical tensions in the region and the strategic calculations that drive China's policies.

Taiwan's Economic Influence: TSMC's Strategic Role

Taiwan's economy has long been defined by its dominance in the semiconductor industry, a sector that serves as the backbone of global technology. At the center of this industry is the Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC), the world's largest contract chipmaker. The TSMC's role is not merely that of a successful corporation; it is a strategic asset that significantly enhances Taiwan's economic influence and geopolitical standing.

The TSMC's leadership in semiconductor manufacturing gives Taiwan a unique position in the global economy. The company produces advanced chips that are essential for a wide range of products, from smartphones and computers to automobiles and military equipment. This technological capability makes the TSMC an indispensable part of the global supply chain, with many of the world's largest tech companies relying on its products. The importance of the TSMC's output has elevated Taiwan's economic influence far beyond what might be expected from its size, making it a critical player in the global technology sector.

The strategic importance of the TSMC is not lost on Taiwan's government, which views the company as a key element of national security. By controlling such a vital part of the global semiconductor supply chain, Taiwan has a form of leverage that few other nations possess. This leverage extends beyond economic benefits; it also has significant geopolitical implications, particularly in the context of Cross-Strait relations with China. The global dependency on the TSMC's chips makes Taiwan's economy not just prosperous but strategically vital to the functioning of the global economy.

China, aware of the TSMC's strategic role, has attempted to develop its own semiconductor industry to reduce reliance on Taiwanese and Western technologies. However, despite significant investments, China's domestic semiconductor capabilities lag behind those of the TSMC. This technological gap enhances Taiwan's economic influence and makes any disruption to the TSMC's operations a matter of global concern. The world's reliance on theTSMC gives Taiwan a strategic buffer against potential aggression, as any conflict that disrupts semiconductor production would have far-reaching consequences for the global economy.

European and U.S. Responses: Semiconductor Alliances

Recognizing the strategic importance of semiconductors, both the United States and Europe have sought to strengthen their positions in this critical industry. In recent years, these regions have intensified efforts to reduce dependency on foreign semiconductor manufacturing, particularly from Taiwan, through the development of domestic production capabilities and strategic alliances with key industry players like the TSMC.

In the United States, the drive to secure semiconductor supply chains has led to significant government initiatives, including the CHIPS and Science Act, which provides substantial funding to boost domestic chip manufacturing. The U.S. government has also actively courted the TSMC to establish production facilities on American soil. In response, the TSMC announced plans to build a major chipmaking plant in Arizona, a move that not only enhances U.S. semiconductor manufacturing capacity but also strengthens the strategic alliance between the U.S. and Taiwan. This partnership reflects the broader U.S. strategy of securing critical supply chains and reducing vulnerability to potential disruptions in global semiconductor production.

Europe, too, has recognized the need to bolster its semiconductor capabilities. The European Union has introduced the European Chips Act, which aims to double the EU's share of global semiconductor production by 2030. Like the U.S., Europe has sought to attract investments from the TSMC, with the company planning to build a significant manufacturing facility in Germany. This move is part of Europe's broader strategy to enhance technological sovereignty and ensure that critical industries are not overly dependent on external suppliers, particularly in light of rising geopolitical tensions.

These initiatives by the U.S. and Europe are not just about economic security; they are also about strategic positioning. By strengthening semiconductor alliances with Taiwan, both regions are effectively reinforcing their geopolitical ties with a key player in the global tech ecosystem. These alliances serve as a counterbalance to China's growing influence and are a clear signal of support for Taiwan in the face of increasing pressure from Beijing.

The strategic partnerships between the TSMC and the U.S. and Europe also have significant implications for global supply chains. By diversifying semiconductor production locations, these alliances reduce the risks associated with a single point of failure in the supply chain. This geographic diversification makes the global semiconductor supply chain more resilient to potential disruptions, whether they arise from natural disasters, geopolitical conflicts, or other crises. Moreover, these partnerships enhance the strategic autonomy of the U.S. and Europe, reducing their reliance on external suppliers and strengthening their positions in the global technology landscape.

How Taiwan's Economic Strength Acts as a Deterrent

Taiwan's economic strength, particularly its dominance in semiconductor manufacturing through the TSMC, serves as a powerful deterrent against military aggression from China. The global reliance on Taiwan's semiconductor industry means that any conflict in the region would have catastrophic consequences for the global economy, making the costs of such a conflict prohibitively high for all involved parties, including China.

The strategic importance of the TSMC in the global economy is such that it effectively ties the interests of many of the world's largest economies to Taiwan's stability. The United States, Europe, and numerous other countries depend on the continuous flow of semiconductors from Taiwan to power their economies. This dependency creates a powerful incentive for these nations to support Taiwan diplomatically and, if necessary, militarily, in the event of a crisis. The potential disruption of global supply chains, particularly in the technology sector, is a significant factor that tempers any considerations of military action by China.

China's leadership is acutely aware of the economic and geopolitical risks associated with a military conflict over Taiwan. The disruption of semiconductor production would not only cripple China's own technology sector, which remains heavily dependent on imports of advanced chips, but it would also provoke a global economic crisis that could destabilize markets and undermine China's broader economic goals. The interdependence of the global economy, with Taiwan's semiconductor industry at its core, acts as a substantial deterrent to Chinese aggression.

Furthermore, the economic consequences of any conflict over Taiwan would likely lead to severe international sanctions against China, further isolating it from global markets. Such sanctions could cripple China's export-driven economy, leading to significant economic hardship and potential political instability within the country. The Chinese government, which has placed great emphasis on maintaining social stability and economic growth, is unlikely to risk these outcomes unless it sees no other option.

In addition to the direct economic impact, Taiwan's semiconductor industry also plays a critical role in maintaining technological leadership in the global economy. Control over semiconductor production is a key determinant of technological power, and any disruption to the TSMC's operations would have long-term implications for global innovation and competitiveness. This reality enhances Taiwan's strategic importance and makes the prospect of a conflict over the island particularly unpalatable for China, as it would risk losing its position in the global technological race.

The deterrent effect of Taiwan's economic strength is further amplified by the strategic alliances Taiwan has forged with the United States and Europe. These alliances not only enhance Taiwan's security but also signal to China that any aggressive actions would be met with significant international resistance. The global community's stake in Taiwan's stability, particularly in the semiconductor sector, makes any potential conflict a high-stakes gamble that China is unlikely to pursue without significant provocation.

In summary, Taiwan's economic strength, centered on its semiconductor industry, serves as a critical deterrent against Chinese military aggression. Global reliance on the TSMC's products means that any disruption to Taiwan's economy would have far-reaching consequences, making the cost of conflict extremely high. The strategic alliances that Taiwan has developed with the United States and Europe further reinforce this deterrent, ensuring that Taiwan remains a key player in the global economy and a focal point of international geopolitical strategy. As long as Taiwan maintains its position at the heart of the global semiconductor industry, its economic strength will continue to be a powerful force for stability in the region.

5. Geopolitical Constraints and Economic Vulnerabilities

Having looked at Taiwan's critical role in the semiconductor industry and its impact on cross-strait relations in Chapter 4, we now shift our attention to the broader geopolitical landscape. This chapter will explore how economic constraints influence China's ambitions in key strategic areas like the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait. These economic vulnerabilities play a crucial role in shaping China's approach to these contested regions, highlighting the delicate balance between its goals and the potential risks of military action.

South China Sea and Taiwan Strait: Economic Constraints on Military Actions

China's ambitions in the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait are well-known, reflecting its broader goal of asserting regional dominance. However, these geopolitical ambitions are tempered by significant economic constraints that limit Beijing's ability to engage in aggressive military actions. The importance of maintaining economic stability and avoiding actions that could destabilize global markets or provoke severe international responses acts as a powerful check on China's strategic decisions in these contested areas.

The South China Sea is a critical maritime region for global trade, with a significant portion of the world's shipping passing through its waters. Any military conflict in this region would have immediate and far-reaching consequences for global trade, particularly for China, which is deeply integrated into the global economy. The disruption of trade routes in the South China Sea could lead to severe economic repercussions, not only for China but for the entire world. This potential for widespread economic disruption is a key factor that constrains China's military actions in the region.

Similarly, the Taiwan Strait, a vital artery for global trade and technology supply chains, holds immense strategic importance. Taiwan's economic strength, particularly in the semiconductor industry, makes any conflict in this region particularly risky. China is acutely aware that military aggression in the Taiwan Strait would not only disrupt global semiconductor supplies but also lead to severe economic consequences for itself, including potential sanctions and a loss of access to crucial markets.

The potential economic fallout from military actions in these regions serves as a significant deterrent. China's leadership understands that any conflict in the South China Sea or Taiwan Strait could trigger a global economic crisis, damaging China's own economic interests and undermining its long-term goals. As a result, while Beijing continues to assert its territorial claims and engage in military posturing, it remains constrained by the economic risks associated with actual military conflict.

These economic constraints are further reinforced by the interdependence of global markets. China's economy is deeply integrated with those of the United States, Europe, and other major powers. Any military action that disrupts this integration could lead to severe economic penalties, including sanctions, trade restrictions, and a loss of investor confidence. The fear of such repercussions acts as a powerful deterrent against military aggression, forcing China to weigh the economic costs of its geopolitical ambitions carefully.

How Economic Vulnerabilities Shape China's Geopolitical Strategy

China's geopolitical strategy is heavily influenced by its economic vulnerabilities. Despite its rapid economic growth and increasing global influence, China faces several internal and external economic challenges that shape its approach to international relations. These vulnerabilities, including high levels of debt, reliance on foreign markets, and domestic economic imbalances, limit China's ability to pursue aggressive geopolitical strategies and force it to adopt a more cautious and pragmatic approach.

One of China's most significant economic vulnerabilities is its high level of debt, particularly at the local government level. The country's rapid infrastructure development and urbanization have been financed largely through debt, leading to concerns about the sustainability of this growth model. The need to manage and service this debt constrains China's ability to engage in costly military conflicts, as such actions could exacerbate financial instability and lead to economic downturns.

China's reliance on foreign markets for both exports and imports is another critical vulnerability. The country's economic growth has been driven in large part by its integration into the global economy, particularly through its role as the world's largest exporter. However, this dependence on foreign markets also makes China vulnerable to external economic shocks, such as trade wars, sanctions, and shifts in global demand. These vulnerabilities limit China's ability to engage in aggressive geopolitical actions that could disrupt its access to key markets or provoke retaliatory measures from other nations.

Domestic economic imbalances, including income inequality and regional disparities, also shape China's geopolitical strategy. The Chinese government is keenly aware that social stability is closely tied to economic performance. Any significant economic downturn, particularly one triggered by military conflict, could lead to social unrest and challenge the legitimacy of the Communist Party. This awareness forces China to carefully consider the economic consequences of its actions on both the domestic and international fronts, leading to a more cautious approach to its geopolitical ambitions.

China's economic vulnerabilities are also reflected in its approach to global financial markets. The country's integration into the global financial system means that its economic performance is closely tied to global investor sentiment. Any significant geopolitical tension, particularly one that threatens global stability, could lead to capital flight, a devaluation of the renminbi, and a loss of confidence in China's economic management. These risks are a powerful deterrent against aggressive military actions, as they could undermine the very foundations of China's economic power.

As a result of these vulnerabilities, China's geopolitical strategy is characterized by a careful balancing act. While Beijing continues to pursue its long-term goals of regional dominance and global influence, it does so in a manner that seeks to minimize economic risks. This approach includes the use of economic diplomacy, strategic partnerships, and incremental steps rather than direct confrontation. By leveraging its economic power in a more measured way, China aims to achieve its geopolitical objectives without triggering the economic vulnerabilities that could derail its progress.

Balance between Economic Growth and Hegemonic Ambitions

China's pursuit of economic growth and its desire for regional and global influence are often seen as two sides of the same coin. However, the relationship between these goals is complex, with economic growth both enabling and constraining China's hegemonic ambitions. The need to maintain economic stability and continue its development trajectory often forces China to adopt a more restrained approach to its geopolitical goals, even as it seeks to expand its influence on the global stage.

Economic growth is the foundation of China's global influence. The country's rapid development over the past few decades has allowed it to build a powerful economy, invest in modern military capabilities, and extend its influence through initiatives like the Belt and Road. However, this growth has also created expectations among the Chinese population for continued prosperity and improved living standards. Meeting these expectations requires sustained economic performance, which in turn depends on maintaining stable international relations and avoiding actions that could disrupt global markets.

China's leaders are acutely aware that any significant disruption to economic growth could undermine their domestic legitimacy and weaken the country's global position. This awareness shapes Beijing's approach to its hegemonic ambitions, leading to a strategy that prioritizes economic stability and gradual expansion of influence over direct confrontation. While China continues to assert its territorial claims and expand its military capabilities, it does so in a way that seeks to minimize economic risks and avoid triggering a global backlash.

The balance between economic growth and hegemonic ambitions is particularly evident in China's approach to the United States. While Beijing seeks to challenge U.S. dominance in Asia and beyond, it also recognizes that a direct confrontation with the U.S. could have devastating economic consequences. The extensive economic ties between the two countries, including trade, investment, and financial linkages, act as a powerful deterrent against military conflict. China's strategy, therefore, focuses on leveraging its economic power to gradually erode U.S. influence while avoiding actions that could provoke a direct military response.

China's approach to its neighbors in Asia is similarly shaped by the need to balance economic growth with geopolitical goals. While Beijing seeks to assert its dominance in the South China Sea and other contested areas, it also relies on stable economic relations with its neighbors to sustain growth. This reliance leads to a strategy that combines economic incentives, such as trade and investment, with diplomatic pressure, rather than overt military actions. By maintaining a focus on economic development, China aims to gradually increase its influence without jeopardizing the regional stability that underpins its growth.

The balance between economic growth and hegemonic ambitions is a defining feature of China's global strategy. While the country's leaders are determined to expand China's influence and assert its position as a global power, they are also keenly aware of the risks associated with pursuing these goals too aggressively. The need to maintain economic stability, avoid global market disruptions, and continue domestic development forces China to adopt a more cautious approach to its geopolitical ambitions, prioritizing economic growth as the foundation of its long-term strategy.

In conclusion, China's geopolitical constraints and economic vulnerabilities play a crucial role in shaping its approach to international relations. The potential economic fallout from military actions in the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait acts as a significant deterrent, forcing Beijing to carefully consider the risks associated with its ambitions. These economic constraints, combined with China's need to balance growth with geopolitical goals, lead to a strategy that prioritizes stability and gradual expansion over direct confrontation. As China continues to navigate the complexities of its rise, the interplay between economic growth and hegemonic ambitions will remain central to its global strategy.

6. EU-China Economic Nexus

After discussing the economic constraints that shape China's actions in the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait, we now move on to explore the evolving economic relationship between China and Europe. This chapter will delve into how Europe's strategic partnerships, particularly in the semiconductor industry, are influencing cross-strait dynamics and altering the balance of power in the region. Understanding these economic ties is essential for grasping the broader implications of China's interactions with the European Union and their impact on global stability.

How Europe's Strategic Semiconductor Partnerships Affect Cross-Strait Dynamics

Europe's growing involvement in the global semiconductor industry has significant implications for the delicate balance of power in the Taiwan Strait. The European Union's strategic partnerships with key semiconductor producers, particularly the Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC), are not only about securing supply chains but also have broader geopolitical consequences. These partnerships are becoming an essential element of the EU's strategy to navigate the complex dynamics between China and Taiwan.

The semiconductor industry is central to modern economies, underpinning everything from consumer electronics to advanced defense systems. Taiwan, through the TSMC, dominates this critical industry, making it a crucial partner for any country seeking to ensure access to cutting-edge technology. For Europe, securing reliable semiconductor supplies has become a strategic priority, driven by the recognition that technological sovereignty is key to maintaining competitiveness and security in an increasingly digital world.

Europe's deepening ties with Taiwan through the TSMC have a direct impact on Cross-Strait relations. By strengthening its semiconductor partnership with

Taiwan, the EU is indirectly supporting Taiwan's economic resilience and, by extension, its ability to resist pressure from China. The EU's involvement in the semiconductor industry enhances Taiwan's strategic importance, making it even more critical to the global economy and thus more challenging for China to take aggressive actions against the island without provoking a severe international response.

Moreover, Europe's semiconductor partnerships signal a broader commitment to maintaining stability in the region. By aligning more closely with Taiwan, the EU is implicitly recognizing the island's critical role in global supply chains, which could be seen as a form of support for Taiwan's autonomy. This complicates China's strategic calculations, as any move against Taiwan would not only disrupt the global semiconductor supply but also risk alienating key European partners.

The partnership between the EU and Taiwan in semiconductors is also a counterbalance to China's efforts to develop its own semiconductor industry. Despite significant investments, China still lags behind Taiwan in semiconductor technology. By aligning with Taiwan, Europe is helping to maintain the global technological hierarchy, where Taiwan remains a key player, and China continues to struggle with technological dependence. This alignment indirectly supports the status quo in the Taiwan Strait, where Taiwan's economic strength and strategic importance act as deterrents against Chinese aggression.

EU's Economic Strategies in Response to China's Moves

As China continues to assert itself on the global stage, the European Union has had to adapt its economic strategies to protect its interests and maintain its influence. The EU's approach to China is characterized by a blend of cooperation, competition, and caution, reflecting the complexities of dealing with a rising power that is both a vital economic partner and a strategic rival.

One of the EU's key strategies in response to China's growing influence has been to diversify its economic relationships, particularly in critical industries like semiconductors. By building stronger ties with Taiwan and other semiconductor leaders, Europe aims to reduce its dependence on Chinese technology and supply chains. This strategy is part of a broader effort to enhance Europe's technological sovereignty, ensuring that it can maintain its industrial base and protect its security interests in a rapidly changing global landscape.

The EU has also responded to China's economic moves with a series of policy initiatives designed to level the playing field and protect European industries from unfair competition. Measures such as the European Chips Act and the Anti-Coercion Instrument are examples of how the EU is using its regulatory power to counteract China's influence. These policies are intended to support European industries, particularly in high-tech sectors, while also signaling to China that the EU is prepared to defend its economic sovereignty.

In addition to these defensive measures, the EU is actively seeking to build alternative partnerships that can serve as counterweights to China's influence. The EU's engagement with Taiwan, Japan, South Korea, and other technology leaders is part of a strategy to create a network of like-minded partners that can work together to maintain a rules-based international order. By strengthening these partnerships, the EU aims to ensure that it has access to critical technologies and markets while also reducing its strategic dependence on China.

The EU's economic strategies are also shaped by the need to balance its relationships with both the United States and China. The transatlantic alliance remains central to Europe's security and economic strategy, but the EU is also keen to avoid being drawn into a binary choice between the U.S. and China. By pursuing an independent economic strategy, the EU seeks to maintain its autonomy and influence in global affairs, ensuring that it can navigate the complexities of U.S.-China competition without becoming overly reliant on either power.

However, the EU's economic strategies in response to China's moves are not without challenges. Balancing the need for cooperation with the imperative of competition is a delicate task, particularly when dealing with a partner as influential and assertive as China. The EU must navigate a complex landscape of economic interests, political pressures, and security concerns as it seeks to protect its industries, maintain access to global markets, and uphold its values.

Potential Long-Term Economic Realignments

The ongoing shifts in the global economic landscape, driven by the rise of China and the increasing importance of technology, are leading to potential long-term realignments that could reshape the EU-China economic nexus. These realignments are likely to be characterized by a more fragmented global economy, where regional blocs play a more significant role, and traditional alliances are redefined in response to new economic and geopolitical realities.

One of the most significant potential realignments is the decoupling of key industries, particularly in technology. As the EU and other advanced economies seek to reduce their dependence on Chinese technology and supply chains, we may see the emergence of distinct regional technology ecosystems. These ecosystems could be centered around Europe, North America, and East Asia, with each region developing its own standards, supply chains, and technological innovations. This fragmentation of the global economy would represent a significant shift from the current model of deep interdependence and could have profound implications for global trade and investment patterns.

In this new economic landscape, the EU may find itself playing a pivotal role as a bridge between different regions. Europe's strong industrial base, technological capabilities, and strategic location make it well-positioned to serve as a hub for innovation and trade in a more fragmented global economy. The EU's emphasis on technological sovereignty and strategic autonomy will likely continue to drive its economic strategy, positioning Europe as a leader in key industries such as semiconductors, green technology, and digital innovation.

The potential realignment of the global economy also raises questions about the future of the EU-China economic relationship. While China will remain a crucial economic partner for Europe, the relationship is likely to become more complex and competitive. The EU's efforts to diversify its economic partnerships and reduce its dependence on China suggest that we may see a gradual rebalancing of the relationship, with Europe seeking to assert greater control over its economic future. This rebalancing could lead to a more transactional and selective approach to EU-China relations, where cooperation is pursued in areas of mutual interest, but competition is acknowledged and managed in others.

Another potential long-term realignment is the increased importance of multilateralism and global governance. As the global economy becomes more fragmented, there will be a greater need for international cooperation to address common challenges such as climate change, digital governance, and trade disputes. The EU, with its strong commitment to multilateralism and its experience in managing complex international agreements, could play a leading role in shaping the future of global governance. By working with like-minded partners, the EU can help to build a more resilient and inclusive global economy that reflects its values and interests.

The long-term economic realignments driven by the rise of China and the evolving global landscape present both challenges and opportunities for the EU. As Europe navigates this complex environment, it will need to balance its economic interests with its strategic goals, ensuring that it can maintain its influence and protect its sovereignty in an increasingly competitive world. The EU's ability to adapt to these changes and to build strong partnerships with other global players will be crucial in determining its future role in the global economy.

In conclusion, the EU-China economic nexus is undergoing significant changes, driven by shifts in the global economy and the rise of new technological and geopolitical realities. Europe's strategic semiconductor partnerships, economic strategies, and response to China's moves are all part of a broader effort to navigate this complex landscape. The potential long-term realignments in the global economy will require the EU to adapt its strategies, build new partnerships, and assert its role as a leader in global governance. As these changes unfold, the EU-China relationship will continue to evolve, shaping the future of both regions and the global economy as a whole.

7. The Illusion of Aid: China's Financial Constraints in Africa

There is an interesting case study about the strength of China's economy, indeed any economy, to be found: the nature and extent of Chinese aid to Africa. Often tapped as significant, often thought of as outdoing anyone else, and spoken of with some fear, some of the facts of it are overwrought but the aid to Africa is an interesting litmus for the strength of China's economy.

Without any doubt, China's increasing role in Africa has long been a central topic in discussions about global development and geopolitics. Since the early 2000s, Beijing has presented itself as a key development partner to African nations, offering infrastructure loans, development aid, and technical assistance. This has led to a perception that China's financial involvement in Africa dwarfs that of other global players, positioning it as a crucial actor in the continent's economic and political landscape. However, this perception of China as a benefactor in Africa has often been overstated. Recent evidence points to a significant scaling back of China's aid and financial commitments in Africa, especially as economic pressures mount within China itself. The reality is that much of China's financial aid has been tied directly to its own geostrategic interests, rather than purely altruistic development goals.

In 2024, the limitations of China's financial involvement in Africa have become increasingly clear, as domestic economic challenges force Beijing to reassess its global spending. The narrative of Chinese largesse is being replaced by a more sober reality of strategic self-interest and dwindling resources. This chapter will explore the evidence surrounding China's aid spending in Africa, highlight its ties to China's broader geopolitical strategy, and examine how Beijing's economic vulnerabilities are reshaping its role on the continent.

Overestimation of China's Aid in Africa

For over a decade, China has been seen as one of the largest external contributors to African development, frequently framed as a counterbalance to Western aid and investment. High-profile infrastructure projects, such as railways, ports, and telecommunications networks, have reinforced this image. According to the China Africa Research Initiative (CARI) at Johns Hopkins University, China lent around $148 billion to African governments and state-owned enterprises between 2000 and 2019, focusing primarily on infrastructure. However, these figures often blur the lines between aid, loans, and commercial investments.

Unlike traditional Western development aid, much of China's financial involvement in Africa has come in the form of loans, which African nations are required to repay, often at favorable interest rates but still resulting in debt obligations. Many of these loans are tied to Chinese contractors, labor, and supplies, effectively recycling the money back into Chinese companies. This method of development financing, referred to as "tied aid," means that much of the money does not leave the Chinese economic ecosystem and offers limited direct financial benefit to the recipient countries. This model contrasts with grant-based aid more commonly offered by Western nations and international institutions.

Further complicating the perception of Chinese aid is the opacity surrounding Beijing's financial commitments. Unlike Western donors, who publish detailed data on foreign aid, China often does not distinguish between aid, investment, and concessional loans. As a result, the extent of China's true aid contributions is frequently exaggerated. Researchers from the Overseas Development Institute have pointed out that Chinese aid flows, when narrowly defined as grants and concessional loans, are far smaller than the figures often reported.

By 2024, as China's economy faces significant headwinds, its capacity to maintain high levels of aid and investment in Africa has diminished. Factors such as slowing GDP growth, mounting local government debt, and a struggling property sector are forcing Beijing to scale back on its overseas financial commitments. As a result, many African nations are witnessing a reduction in Chinese aid, loans, and infrastructure funding. This drawdown challenges the narrative of China's unrivaled role in African development and highlights the limits of Beijing's financial capacity.

Aid Tied to Geostrategic Interests

Like many countries, China's aid spending in Africa is closely tied to its geostrategic interests. Since the early 2000s, Beijing's primary goal in Africa has been to secure access to natural resources, particularly oil, minerals, and agricultural products, which are essential to fuel its rapidly growing economy. African nations such as Angola, Nigeria, and Zambia have been central to China's energy and mineral strategies, with Chinese aid and loans often contingent on securing long-term supply agreements. This pattern mirrors the strategic objectives behind Chinese aid in Latin America and Southeast Asia.

For instance, Angola, a major oil supplier to China, received a significant share of Chinese loans during the 2010s. In return, Angola agreed to repay many of these loans with oil, creating a structure that directly linked Chinese financial assistance to its energy security needs. Similarly, Zambia, which is rich in copper, has seen significant Chinese investments in its mining sector, often accompanied by infrastructure projects funded through Chinese loans.

Beyond resource extraction, China's aid in Africa has also been driven by its broader geopolitical ambitions. As part of its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), China has sought to develop infrastructure corridors across the continent that link African markets more closely with Chinese trade networks. Ports in countries like Kenya and Djibouti have become strategic hubs for China's maritime ambitions, allowing Beijing to expand its influence in key shipping lanes such as the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean. The construction of railways, such as the Standard Gauge Railway in Kenya, is often portrayed as development assistance but serves China's long-term economic and military interests by enhancing connectivity to these strategic points.

Moreover, Chinese aid is frequently tied to political considerations, with Beijing using financial incentives to strengthen diplomatic ties and secure support for its positions in international forums. For example, African nations that recognize Taiwan have typically been excluded from Chinese aid programs, while those that adopt the One China policy are more likely to receive favorable terms on loans and investment. This transactional nature of Chinese aid underscores its role as a tool for securing geopolitical influence, rather than as a purely altruistic effort to promote African development.

Drawdown in Chinese Aid in 2024

The year 2024 marks a significant turning point in China's aid and investment strategy in Africa, as Beijing grapples with mounting economic challenges at home. Several factors are contributing to this pullback, which is increasingly visible in the reduction of new infrastructure projects and the scaling back of existing commitments.

One of the primary drivers behind this drawdown is China's slowing economy. For years, China relied on high levels of GDP growth to finance its overseas ventures, but as growth slows to its lowest levels in decades, Beijing is becoming more cautious about where it allocates its resources. The country is facing a host of domestic challenges, including a ballooning property sector crisis, high levels of local government debt, and an aging population that threatens to slow labor force growth and increase social spending.

Additionally, the economic model that fueled China's rise—massive state-led investments in infrastructure and real estate—is becoming less sustainable. As Chinese policymakers shift towards more consumption-driven growth, resources available for overseas investment are shrinking. This is particularly evident in Africa, where new Chinese infrastructure projects are becoming rarer, and existing projects are often delayed or scaled back. According to the China Africa Research Initiative, Chinese lending to African countries fell by more than 70 percent between 2016 and 2021, a trend that is continuing into 2024.

This drawdown is also influenced by the increasing financial risks associated with lending to African nations. Many African countries are struggling with rising debt burdens, much of it owed to China. Nations like Zambia and Ethiopia have already sought debt relief or restructuring, highlighting the unsustainable nature of some Chinese loans. As a result, Beijing is becoming more selective in its lending, prioritizing projects that offer immediate geostrategic or economic returns.

The fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated these trends, as many African nations face sluggish economic recoveries and are unable to meet their debt obligations. This has led to growing concerns in Beijing about the potential for widespread defaults, prompting Chinese policymakers to reassess their lending strategies. In 2020, China was a major participant in the G20's Debt Service Suspension Initiative (DSSI), which provided temporary relief to some of the poorest nations. However, the fact that many African countries are still struggling to repay their Chinese loans highlights the precariousness of Beijing's position as a creditor.

Geopolitical Implications of the Aid Drawdown

The reduction in Chinese aid and investment in Africa has far-reaching geopolitical implications. As China scales back its financial commitments, other global actors, particularly the United States and the European Union, are seeking to expand their influence on the continent. The Biden administration's Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment and the EU's Global Gateway initiative both aim to offer alternatives to Chinese investment, emphasizing transparency, environmental sustainability, and debt relief.

African nations, meanwhile, are recalibrating their relationships with China. While many governments have welcomed Chinese investments in the past, the growing debt burden associated with these loans has sparked domestic debates about the sustainability of Chinese-led development. In countries like Zambia and Kenya, public discontent with Chinese projects has grown, leading to calls for greater scrutiny of foreign investments and a diversification of development partners.

For China, the scaling back of aid to Africa risks undermining some of the diplomatic gains it has made over the past two decades. While Beijing will likely continue to maintain strong ties with resource-rich nations like Angola and Nigeria, its ability to project influence across the continent may be diminished. In the longer term, this reduction in financial commitments could open the door for other global powers to reassert their influence in Africa, potentially shifting the balance of power on the continent.

The perception of China's aid spending in Africa has long been shaped by inflated figures and a lack of transparency. In reality, much of China's financial involvement on the continent has been tied to loans, resource extraction, and strategic interests, rather than pure development aid. As China's domestic economic challenges deepen, its ability to maintain high levels of aid and investment in Africa is shrinking, revealing the limitations of its role as a global development actor.

The drawdown in Chinese aid in 2024 is a direct reflection of these economic realities. With slowing growth, rising debt, and increased financial risk, Beijing is becoming more selective about where it invests its resources. This shift has significant implications for Africa, where many countries are seeking alternative partners to fill the gap left by China's retreat. For China, the reduction in aid spending threatens to undermine its geopolitical influence on the continent, potentially opening the door for other global powers to reassert their roles.

As China continues to navigate its economic challenges, its aid strategy in Africa will likely evolve, with a greater emphasis on securing immediate returns and reducing financial risks. This more cautious approach marks a departure from the high-spending model of the past two decades, signaling a new era in China-Africa relations where financial pragmatism takes precedence over diplomatic largesse.

8. Economic Constraints on Xi Jinping's Militaristic Ambitions and the CCP's Grip on Power

After exploring the complicated economic ties between China and Europe in Chapter 6, we now turn our focus to the internal pressures within China that influence its global ambitions. In this chapter, we will examine how economic realities, both domestic and international, serve as a check on Xi Jinping's militaristic goals and the Chinese Communist Party's hold on power. This chapter will shed light on the complex interplay between China's economic challenges and its strategic decisions, offering a deeper understanding of the constraints that shape its foreign policy.

Economic Factors Shaping China's Military Strategy

Xi Jinping's leadership has been marked by a dual focus on consolidating domestic power and expanding China's influence on the global stage. Under his rule, the CCP has pursued an assertive foreign policy, including territorial claims in the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait. However, these militaristic ambitions are not pursued in isolation. They are deeply intertwined with China's economic realities, which serve as both a catalyst for and a constraint on Xi's geopolitical strategies.

China's economy, despite its impressive growth, is riddled with vulnerabilities that limit Xi's ability to fully realize his military ambitions. The CCP's hold on power, deeply dependent on economic stability and prosperity, further restricts the scope of its actions. As the Chinese leadership navigates this complex landscape, it must carefully balance its desire for military and geopolitical dominance with the need to maintain economic growth and avoid domestic unrest.

This chapter explores how economic factors constrain Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions and limit the CCP's options in maintaining power. By examining the delicate balance between military goals and economic stability, we gain insight into the strategic decisions that shape China's approach to both domestic and international challenges.

Economic Growth as a Pillar of CCP Legitimacy

The CCP's legitimacy has long been tied to its ability to deliver economic growth and improved living standards. Since the economic reforms of the late 20th century, the CCP has built its political mandate on the promise of prosperity, transforming China into an economic powerhouse. This growth has provided the CCP with the resources to expand its influence globally, but it has also created a dependency on continued economic success to maintain social stability and political control.

Economic growth is crucial for the CCP because it underpins the social contract between the Party and the Chinese populace. The rapid development of the past few decades has lifted millions out of poverty, creating a burgeoning middle class that enjoys improved living standards. However, this progress has also raised expectations. The Chinese people now expect continued economic growth, job opportunities, and access to consumer goods. Any significant downturn could threaten social stability and erode public confidence in the CCP's ability to govern.

This dependence on economic performance as a source of legitimacy means that the CCP must be cautious in its pursuit of militaristic goals. Aggressive military actions, particularly those that could disrupt global trade or provoke economic sanctions, could jeopardize China's economic stability. The CCP is acutely aware that any significant economic disruption could lead to social unrest, which would pose a direct threat to its grip on power.

Economic Costs of Military Expansion

China's military ambitions come with significant economic costs. Building and maintaining a modern military requires substantial financial resources, including investments in advanced technology, infrastructure, and personnel. While China's defense budget has grown considerably under Xi Jinping, these expenditures must be balanced against other economic priorities, such as infrastructure development, social services, and poverty alleviation.

The financial burden of military expansion is compounded by the potential economic consequences of military conflict. Any significant military action, particularly in regions like the South China Sea or Taiwan Strait, could disrupt global trade routes, leading to economic losses not only for China but for the entire world. The Chinese economy is heavily dependent on international trade, with key industries relying on the smooth flow of goods and raw materials. Disrupting these supply chains could have catastrophic effects on China's economy, leading to job losses, decreased investment, and lower economic growth.

Furthermore, military conflict could trigger economic sanctions from other major powers, particularly the United States and its allies. Sanctions could target key sectors of the Chinese economy, such as technology, finance, and manufacturing, further exacerbating the economic costs of military action. The CCP must weigh these potential costs against the benefits of military expansion, making it less likely to pursue aggressive actions that could jeopardize China's economic stability.

Domestic Economic Pressures and Social Stability

China's domestic economic challenges also serve as a significant constraint on Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions. Despite its impressive GDP growth, China faces several structural economic issues that threaten long-term stability. These include high levels of debt, income inequality, an aging population, and the ongoing transition from an investment-driven growth model to one based on consumption and innovation.

High levels of debt, particularly at the local government level, pose a significant risk to China's economic stability. Local governments have accumulated massive debts to finance infrastructure projects and other initiatives, leading to concerns about the sustainability of this growth model. If these debts become unmanageable, it could lead to financial instability and undermine the CCP's ability to maintain social stability.

Income inequality is another pressing issue. While urban areas, particularly in coastal regions, have experienced significant economic growth, many rural areas have been left behind. This disparity is creating tensions between different regions and social groups, increasing the risk of social unrest. The CCP is keenly aware that maintaining social stability requires addressing these inequalities and ensuring that the benefits of economic growth are more evenly distributed.

The aging population is another challenge that limits China's economic and military ambitions. As the population ages, the working-age population shrinks, leading to a decrease in the labor force and an increase in the demand for social services, such as healthcare and pensions. This demographic shift puts additional pressure on the economy, limiting the resources available for military expansion and other initiatives.

The transition from an investment-driven growth model to one based on consumption and innovation is also fraught with challenges. The CCP recognizes the need to shift away from reliance on state-led investments and exports towards a more sustainable economic model. However, this transition requires significant structural reforms, which can be disruptive and slow to produce results. In the short term, the uncertainty associated with these reforms could lead to economic instability, further limiting the CCP's ability to pursue aggressive military actions.

International Economic Relations as a Deterrent

China's deep integration into the global economy serves as both an asset and a constraint on its military ambitions. On one hand, China's economic power provides it with significant leverage in international relations. On the other hand, this integration means that any disruption to global markets could have severe consequences for China's economy.

China's trade relationships with major economies, particularly the United States, the European Union, and Japan, are vital to its economic health. These relationships provide China with access to key markets, technologies, and investments that are essential for continued economic growth. However, they also create dependencies that limit China's ability to pursue aggressive military actions.

The potential for economic sanctions or other forms of economic retaliation from these major trading partners serves as a powerful deterrent against military aggression. The CCP must carefully consider the potential impact of any military action on its economic relationships, as any significant disruption could lead to a loss of access to crucial markets and technologies. This consideration is particularly important in the context of the ongoing U.S.-China trade tensions, which have already led to significant economic disruptions.

Moreover, China's involvement in global financial markets means that its economy is sensitive to shifts in investor sentiment. Any military conflict, particularly one that involves major global powers, could lead to capital flight, currency devaluation, and a loss of confidence in China's economic stability. These risks further constrain Xi Jinping's ability to pursue militaristic ambitions, as the economic fallout from such actions could undermine the very foundation of the CCP's power.

CCP's Internal Politics and Economic Realities

The internal dynamics of the CCP also play a crucial role in limiting Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions. While Xi has consolidated power within the Party, he must still navigate a complex labyrinth of internal factions, interests, and priorities. These internal dynamics are heavily influenced by economic realities, as different factions within the CCP may have varying views on the balance between economic growth and military expansion.

Some factions within the CCP, particularly those with ties to the military, may advocate for a more aggressive approach to China's territorial disputes and global ambitions. However, other factions, particularly those with ties to the business and financial sectors, may prioritize economic stability and growth over military expansion. Xi must carefully balance these competing interests to maintain his grip on power, which often means tempering his militaristic ambitions to avoid triggering economic instability.

The CCP's focus on maintaining internal stability also limits its ability to pursue aggressive military actions. Any significant military conflict could lead to internal dissent within the Party, particularly if it results in economic hardship for the population. The CCP's leadership is acutely aware that maintaining unity within the Party is essential for its continued rule, and this awareness acts as a check on Xi's ability to pursue aggressive foreign policies.

Furthermore, the CCP's emphasis on maintaining social stability extends to its approach to foreign policy. The leadership understands that any significant disruption to the economy, whether caused by military conflict or other factors, could lead to social unrest and challenge the Party's legitimacy. This need to maintain social stability serves as a powerful constraint on Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions, forcing him to prioritize economic stability over aggressive foreign policies.

Balancing Military Ambitions with Economic Realities

Xi Jinping's leadership is characterized by a delicate balancing act between pursuing China's military ambitions and maintaining economic stability. While Xi has made significant strides in modernizing China's military and expanding its global influence, he must do so in a way that does not jeopardize the economic foundations of the CCP's power.

This balancing act is evident in China's approach to the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait, where Xi has pursued a strategy of gradual expansion and military posturing rather than outright aggression. By using economic diplomacy, strategic investments, and military deterrence, China seeks to advance its interests without triggering the economic consequences that would result from a full-scale military conflict.

China's economic vulnerabilities, including its reliance on global markets, high levels of debt, and domestic economic challenges, act as significant constraints on Xi Jinping's ability to pursue militaristic ambitions. These constraints force the CCP to adopt a more cautious approach to its geopolitical goals, prioritizing economic stability and social harmony over aggressive military actions.

The future of China's military ambitions will depend on its ability to manage these economic constraints effectively. As China continues to grow and evolve, the CCP will need to find ways to balance its desire for global influence with the economic realities that limit its options. This balance will be crucial in determining China's role in the world and the stability of the CCP's rule.

Economic Realities as a Check on CCP Power

Economic factors play a critical role in shaping Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions and the CCP's ability to maintain power. The need to sustain economic growth, manage domestic challenges, and navigate complex international relationships acts as a significant constraint on China's ability to pursue aggressive military actions.

As China continues to rise as a global power, the CCP will need to balance its military ambitions with the economic realities that underpin its legitimacy. This balance will require careful management of both domestic and international challenges, as well as a recognition that economic stability is essential for maintaining social harmony and political control.

In the end, the economic realities that limit Xi Jinping's militaristic ambitions also serve as a check on the CCP's power. By prioritizing economic stability and avoiding actions that could disrupt the global economy, China's leadership ensures that the CCP remains firmly in control, even as it navigates the obvious complexities of a rapidly changing world.

Conclusion

China's global ambitions are deeply influenced by its economic realities, which serve both as a foundation and a constraint under Xi Jinping's leadership. This special paper has looked at how economic factors shape China's geopolitical strategies and limit its ability to pursue more aggressive actions.

The CCP's reliance on sustained economic growth for political legitimacy forces a cautious approach to any actions that could disrupt stability. The focus on GDP growth, coupled with underlying economic disparities, challenges the sustainability of China's development model and limits its capacity for military expansion. Domestic pressures, including debt, inequality, and demographic changes, further restrict the CCP's options, requiring a careful balance between maintaining stability and pursuing global influence.

China's integration into global financial markets, especially the stock market, acts as a deterrent against military aggression, as economic volatility could undermine the CCP's power. The country's efforts to reduce its reliance on the U.S. dollar and stabilize its economy through ultralong bonds highlight both its desire for independence and the risks involved in its economic strategy.

Taiwan's critical role in the semiconductor industry serves as a powerful deterrent against Chinese aggression, as any conflict would have severe economic repercussions for China and the world. The global importance of Taiwan's technology sector makes military action in the Taiwan Strait a high-risk endeavor, and unlikely.

As China continues to rise, the CCP must balance its global ambitions with the economic realities that constrain its actions. These economic factors will continue to shape China's strategy, ensuring that its rise remains measured and cautious. The CCP's ability to manage these constraints will determine its future role on the global stage.

References

Chapter 1

Breslin, S. (2021). *China Risen? Studying Chinese Global Power*. Bristol University Press. https://bristoluniversitypress.co.uk/china-risen.

Cai, F. (2017). *How Reform Worked in China: The Transition from Plan to Market*. MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262534246/demystifying-the-chinese-economy/.

Christensen, T. J. (2015). *The China Challenge: Shaping the Choices of a Rising Power*. W.W. Norton & Company. https://archive.org/details/chinachallengesh0000chri.

De Freitas, M.V. (2019). "Reform and Opening-up: Chinese Lessons to the World." Policy Centre of the New South. https://www.policycenter.ma/sites/default/files/PCNS-PP-19-05.pdf.

Brandt, L. (2020). "China's Productivity Slowdown and Future Growth Potential." World Bank. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/839401593007627879/pdf/Chinas-Productivity-Slowdown-and-Future-Growth-Potential.pdf.

EveryCSReport. (2019). "China's Economic Rise: History, Trends, Challenges, and Implications for the United States." EveryCSReport. https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/RL33534.html.

Kennedy, S. (2019). "Taiwan's Semiconductor Success: Industry Expert Jack Chang." Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS). https://www.csis.org/podcasts/china-field-notes-scott-kennedy/taiwans-semiconductor-success-industry-expert-jack-chang.

Lardy, N. R. (2019). *The State Strikes Back: The End of Economic Reform in China?* Peterson Institute for International Economics. https://www.piie.com/bookstore/state-strikes-back-end-economic-reform-china.

Scott, D. (2007). *China Stands Up: The PRC and the International System.* Routledge. https://d-scott.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/china-stands-up.pdf.

Chapter 2

Gallagher, M. E. (2017). *Authoritarian Legality in China: Law, Workers, and the State*. Cambridge University Press.

Gurtov, M. (2013). *Will This Be China's Century? A Skeptic's View*. Lynne Rienner Publishers. https://www.rienner.com/title/Will_This_Be_China_s_Century_A_Skeptic_s_View.

Lardy, N. R. (2014). *Markets Over Mao: The Rise of Private Business in China*. Peterson Institute for International Economics. https://www.piie.com/bookstore/2016/markets-over-mao-rise-private-business-china.

Magnus, G. (2018). *Red Flags: Why Xi's China Is in Jeopardy*. Yale University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv5cgbb7.

Naughton, B. (2018). *The Chinese Economy: Adaptation and Growth*. The MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262534796/the-chinese-economy/.

Pettis, M. (2021). "Avoiding the Fall: China's Economic Restructuring." Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2013/09/avoiding-the-fall-chinas-economic-restructuring?lang=en.

Rudd, K. (2018). "The Avoidable War: The Dangers of a Catastrophic Conflict Between the US and Xi Jinping's China." Institute for National Strategic Studies. https://inss.ndu.edu/Media/News/Article/2986039/the-avoidable-war-the-dangers-of-a-catastrophic-conflict-between-the-us-and-xi/.

Shambaugh, D. (2013). *China Goes Global: The Partial Power*. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/china-goes-global-9780199860159.

Steinfeld, E. S. (2010). *Playing Our Game: Why China's Rise Doesn't Threaten the West*. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/playing-our-game-9780195390650.

Subacchi, P. (2016). *The People's Money: How China Is Building a Global Currency*. Columbia University Press. https://cup.columbia.edu/book/the-peoples-money/9780231173476.

Chapter 3

Eichengreen, B. (2011). *Exorbitant Privilege: The Rise and Fall of the Dollar and the Future of the International Monetary System*. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/exorbitant-privilege-9780199753789.

Howden, David. (2015). "The Unloved Dollar Standard: From Bretton Woods to the Rise of China by Ronald I. McKinnon." *Journal of Economic Affairs* 33 (3): 401-03, 10.1111/ecaf.12031. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259548466_The_Unloved_Dollar_Standard_From_Bretton_Woods_to_the_Rise_of_China_by_Ronald_I_McKinnon_Oxford_and_New_York_Oxford_University_Press_2013_220_pp_ISBN_978_0_19_993700_4_hb_1750

Iselin, B. (2024, May). "Beyond debt: How China's ultralong bonds could reshape global geopolitics." Institute for Security and Development Policy. https://www.isdp.eu/publication/beyond-debt-how-chinas-ultralong-bonds-could-reshape-global-geopolitics/

Iselin, B. (2024, May). "Two tines, one fork: China's de-dollarisation and bond issuance drive economic independence." Institute for Security and Development Policy. https://www.isdp.eu/publication/two-tines-one-fork-chinas-de-dollarisation-and-bond-issuance-drive-economic-independence/

Prasad, E. S. (2016). *Gaining Currency: The Rise of the Renminbi*. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/gaining-currency-9780190631055.

Setser, B. W. (2009). "Sovereign Wealth and Sovereign Power: The Strategic Consequences of American Indebtedness." Council on Foreign Relations. https://www.cfr.org/report/sovereign-wealth-and-sovereign-power.

Steil, B., and R. E. Litan. (2006). "Financial Statecraft: The Role of Financial Markets in American Foreign Policy." *Foreign Affairs*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270197007_Financial_Statecraft_The_Role_of_Financial_Markets_in_American_Foreign_Policy.

Subramanian, A. (2011). *Eclipse: Living in the Shadow of China's Economic Dominance*. Peterson Institute for International Economics. https://www.piie.com/bookstore/eclipse-living-shadow-chinas-economic-dominance.

Thananant, W. (2019). "Evaluation of the Renminbi Internationalization: Strategy and Prospects." Masters Thesis: Faculty of Political Science, Thammasat University. https://ethesisarchive.library.tu.ac.th/thesis/2019/TU_2019_6003040182_11500_13825.pdf.

Tooze, A. (2018). *Crashed: How a Decade of Financial Crises Changed the World*. Viking. https://www.academia.edu/84080268/Crashed_How_a_Decade_of_Financial_Crises_Changed_the_World_by_AdamTooze_Allen_Lane_London_2018_pp_xii_706.

Hu, Yuwei. (2010). "Management of China's foreign exchange reserves: a case study on the state administration of foreign." Directorate General Economic and Monetary Affairs, European Commission. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46447662_Management_of_China's_foreign_exchange_reserves_a_case_study_on_the_state_administration_of_foreign

Zenglein, M. J., and A. Holzmann. (2019). "Evolving Made in China 2025: China's Industrial Policy in the Quest for Global Tech Leadership." Mercator Institute for China Studies (MERICS). https://merics.org/en/report/evolving-made-china-2025.

Chapter 4

Arcuri, G., and S. Lu. (2022). "Taiwan's Semiconductor Dominance: Implications for Cross-Strait Relations and the Prospect of Forceful Unification." Centre for Strategic and International Studies. https://www.csis.org/blogs/perspectives-innovation/taiwans-semiconductor-dominance-implications-cross-strait-relations.

Chou, K., and M. Wu. (2023). "A Discussion on Geopolitical Risk of Taiwan Semiconductor Industry." *Journal of East Asian Studies*, 19(2): 177-193. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373224350_A_Discussion_on_Geopolitical_Risk_of_Taiwan_Semiconductor_Industry.

Feenstra, R. C., and G. H. Hanson. (2004). "Intermediaries in Entrepot Trade: Hong Kong Re-Exports of Chinese Goods." *Journal of International Economics*, 62(2): 479-495. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4765315_Intermediaries_in_Entrepot_Trade_Hong_Kong_Re-Exports_of_Chinese_Goods.

Boulle. (2021). "Semiconductors at the heart of the China-US rivalry." Polytechnique Insights. https://www.polytechnique-insights.com/en/braincamps/economy/the-technology-war-between-china-and-the-usa/semiconductors-at-the-heart-of-the-china-us-rivalry/.

Chu, M. C. M. (2023). "China's defence semiconductor industrial base in an age of globalisation: Cross-strait dynamics and regional security implications." *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2023.2164852.

Huang, Y. (2020). "Taiwan's Strategic Role in the Global Supply Chain." Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. https://carnegieendowment.org/2020/06/09/taiwan-s-strategic-role-in-global-supply-chain-pub-82091.

Martin, B., et al. (2011). *Supply Chain Interdependence and Geopolitical Vulnerability*. RAND. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA2354-1.html.

Sutter, R. G. (2018). *Taiwan's Future in the Cross-Strait Balance of Power*. Rowman & Littlefield. https://rowman.com/ISBN/9781442276332/Taiwan's-Future-in-the-Cross-Strait-Balance-of-Power.

Tsai, H. M. (2021). "The Role of Taiwan's Semiconductor Industry in U.S.-China Competition." Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-role-of-taiwans-semiconductor-industry-in-u-s-china-competition/.

Tylecote, A. (2017). "Paper Tigers, Hidden Dragons: Firms and the Political Economy of China's Technological Development." *China Journal*, 78: 199-201. https://doi.org/10.1086/691644.

Chapter 5

Bush, R. C. (2016). *Hong Kong in the Shadow of China: Living with the Leviathan*. Brookings Institution Press. https://www.brookings.edu/book/hong-kong-in-the-shadow-of-china/.

Bin, S. (2015). "China's trade development strategy and trade policy reforms." The International Institute for Sustainable Development. https://www.iisd.org/system/files/publications/china-trade-strategy-policy-reform.pdf.

Clarke, Michael. (2017). "The Belt and Road Initiative: China's New Grand Strategy?" *Asia Policy*, 24(1): 71-79. https//doi.org/10.1353/asp.2017.0023.

Clinton, H. (2011). "America's Pacific Century." *Foreign Policy*, 189: 56-63. https://foreignpolicy.com/2011/10/11/americas-pacific-century/.

Medeiros, E. S. (2019). "China's International Behavior: Activism, Opportunism, and Diversification." RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/MG850.html.

Minzner, C. F. (2018). *End of an Era: How China's Authoritarian Revival Is Undermining Its Rise*. Oxford University Press. https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:80d35afc-3a20-46ad-9fe4-090e3ff0b015/files/mdf5261037e91c218a9af48f83a39715f.

Ross, R. S. (2009). "China's Naval Nationalism: Sources, Prospects, and the U.S. Response." *International Security*, 34(2): 46-81. https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/isec.2009.34.2.46.

Scobell, A., and A. Nader. (2016). "China in the Middle East: The Wary Dragon." RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1229.html.

Shirk, S. L. (2007). "China: Fragile Superpower: How China's Internal Politics Could Derail its Peaceful Rise." Carnegie Council. https://media-1.carnegiecouncil.org/import/studio/China_Fragile_Superpower.pdf.

Zhu, Z. (2013). *China's New Diplomacy: Rationale, Strategies and Significance*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287569349_China's_New_Diplomacy_Rationale_Strategies_and_Significance.

Chapter 6

Bell, D. (2018). *Introduction to the China Model: Political Meritocracy and the Limits of Democracy*. Retrieved from: https://www.lhp.sdu.edu.cn/__local/8/A3/41/19FC270FED665F76E15B982A2E0_2113C3A6_144AFB.pdf.

Buzan, B., and O. Wæver. (2003). *Regions and Powers: The Structure of International Security*. Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/regions-and-powers/9E0B611D4C01CECD704651B273646E1D#.

Chan, S. (2020). *Thucydides's Trap? Historical Interpretation, Logic of Strategy, and China-US War*. Fulcrum. https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/pv63g2033.

Dams, T., X. Martin, and V. Kranenburg. (2021). "China's Soft Power in Europe: Falling on Hard Times." European Think-tank Network on China (ETNC). https://www.ifri.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/etnc_2021_-_chinas_soft_power_in_europe_-_falling_on_hard_times.pdf.

Foot, R., and A. King. (2013). *China's World: The Foreign Policy of a Rising Power*. Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/chinas-world/0489A4E19689AFED0A9CC5C83D69C1CF.

Iselin, B. (2024, March). “The balance of power: Taiwan’s TSMC-led economic might and cross-strait relations.” Institute for Security and Development Policy. https://www.isdp.eu/publication/the-balance-of-power-taiwans-tsmc-led-economic-might-and-cross-strait-relations/.

Meunier, S. (2014). “Divide and Conquer? China and the Cacophony of Foreign Investment Rules in the EU.” *Journal of European Public Policy*, 21(7): 996-1016. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13501763.2014.912145.

Pelaudeix, C. (2021). “The rise of China and EU vulnerability.” https://shs.hal.science/halshs-03323014/document.

Shambaugh, D. (2013). *China Goes Global: The Partial Power*. Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/china-goes-global-9780199860159.

Smith, J. (2017). *The EU, China, and the Mutual Desire for Global Influence*. Springer. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-55056-1.

Vangeli, A. (2017). “China’s Belt and Road Initiative and the New Regionalism in Europe.” *China & World Economy*, 25(5): 108-126. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/cwe.12212.

Chapter 7

Acker, K., D. Brautigam, and Y. Huang. (2020). “Debt relief with Chinese characteristics.” China Africa Research Initiative, Johns Hopkins University. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3745021.

Brautigam, D. (2020). “A critical look at Chinese ‘debt-trap diplomacy’: The rise of a meme.” *Area Development and Policy*, 5(1): 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/23792949.2019.1689828.

China Africa Research Initiative (CARI). (2021). “Chinese loans to Africa database.” Johns Hopkins University, School of Advanced International Studies. https://www.sais-cari.org/data.

Clarke, Michael. (2017). “The Belt and Road Initiative: China’s New Grand Strategy?” *Asia Policy*, 24(1): 71-79. https//doi.org/10.1353/asp.2017.0023.

Davis, J. (2023). “Great Power Competition Implications in Africa: The Chinese Communist Party.” https://www.usaid.gov/news-information/congressional-testimony/apr-18-2023-great-power-competition-implications-africa-chinese-communist-party.

Dimerco. (2023). “Taiwan’s strategic role in the global supply chain.” https://dimerco.com/taiwans-strategic-role-global-semiconductor-supply-chain/.

European Commission. (2021). “Global Gateway: Strengthening Europe’s influence through sustainable investments.” https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/stronger-europe-world/global-gateway_en.

Gelpern, A., S. Horn, S. Morris, B. Parks, and C. Trebesch. (2021). “How China lends: A rare look into 100 debt contracts with foreign governments.” Peterson Institute for International Economics. https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/how-china-lends-rare-look-100-debt-contracts-foreign-governments.

Global Development Policy Institute. (2024). “Chinese loans to African countries 2000-2019.” China Africa Research Initiative. https://www.bu.edu/gdp/chinese-loans-to-africa-database/.

Mboya, C. (2023). "African agency and the new foreign policy response to the US's Huawei ban: Evidence from Kenya." The Africa Governance Papers (TAGP), 1(4). https://tagp.gga.org/index.php/system/article/view/52.

Pilling, D. (2023). "How China's slowing economy affects Africa." *Financial Times*. https://www.ft.com/content/7d71b73e-4d67-11e9-bde6-79eaea5acb64.

Project Syndicate. (2023). "How Bad Is China's Economy?" https://www.project-syndicate.org/onpoint/how-bad-is-china-s-econom.

Chapter 8

Brown, K., and S. Harris. (2019). *China's Foreign Policy: What Does It Mean for Europe?* Routledge. https://www.routledge.com/Chinas-Foreign-Policy-What-Does-It-Mean-for-Europe/Brown-Harris/p/book/9781138612641

Christensen, T. J. (2015). *The China Challenge: Shaping the Choices of a Rising Power*. W.W. Norton & Company. https://wwnorton.com/books/9780393062912

Friedberg, A. L. (2011). *A Contest for Supremacy: China, America, and the Struggle for Mastery in Asia*. W.W. Norton & Company. https://wwnorton.com/books/9780393340959

Gilboy, G. J. (2004). "The Myth Behind China's Miracle." *Foreign Affairs*, 83(4): 33-48. https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/asia/2004-07-01/myth-behind-chinas-miracle.

Hamilton, C., and M. Ohlberg. (2020). *Hidden hand: Exposing how the Chinese Communist Party is reshaping the world*. (1st ed.) Hardie Grant Publishing. https://researchoutput.csu.edu.au/en/publications/hidden-hand-exposing-how-the-chinese-communist-party-is-reshaping.

Luttwak, E. (2012). *The Rise of China vs. the Logic of Strategy*. Belknap Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt2jbw6c

Scobell, A., and A. Nader. (2016). "China in the Middle East: The Wary Dragon." RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1229.html

Sutter, R. G. (2018). *Chinese Foreign Relations: Power and Policy Since the Cold War*. Rowman & Littlefield. https://books.google.be/books/about/Chinese_Foreign_Relations.html?id=kY0ameTCedUC&redir_esc=y.

Waltz, K. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill. Retrieved from: https://dl1.cuni.cz/pluginfile.php/486328/mod_resource/content/0/Kenneth%20N.%20Waltz%20Theory%20of%20International%20Politics%20Addison-Wesley%20series%20in%20political%20science%20%20%20%201979.pdf.

You, H. (2021). "Semiconductors and the U.S.-China Innovation Race." *Foreign Policy*. https://foreignpolicy.com/2021/02/16/semiconductors-us-china-taiwan-technology-innovation-competition/.

About the Author

Brian Iselin *is a Senior Research Fellow and Strategic Liaison at the Institute for Security and Development Policy, Stockholm, Sweden.*